arXiv:1005.4252v2 [math.CV] 22 Mar 2011

A NEW CLASS OF NON-LINEAR STABILITY PRESERVING OPERATORS

LUKASZ GRABAREK

ABSTRACT. We extend Brändén's recent proof of a conjecture of Stanley and describe a new class of non-linear operators that preserve weak Hurwitz stability and the Laguerre-Pólya class.

1. INTRODUCTION

A real entire function $\psi(x)$ is said to belong to the Laguerre-Pólya class, denoted $\mathscr{L}\text{-}\mathscr{P}$, if $\psi(x)$ is the uniform limit, on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}$, of real polynomials all of whose zeros are real. $\psi(x) \in \mathscr{L}\text{-}\mathscr{P}$ if and only if $\psi(x)$ can be expressed in the form

$$\psi(x) = cx^m e^{-\alpha x^2+\beta x} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1+\frac{x}{x_k}\right) e^{-\frac{x}{x_k}} , \tag{1}$$

where $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha, \beta, c, x_k \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha \geq 0$, and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x_k^2} < \infty$. An important subclass of the Laguerre-Pólya class, denoted $\mathscr{L}\text{-}\mathscr{P}^+$, consists of precisely those $\varphi(x) \in \mathscr{L}\text{-}\mathscr{P}$ whose Taylor coefficients are non-negative. $\varphi(x) \in \mathscr{L}\text{-}\mathscr{P}^+$ if and only if $\varphi(x)$ can be expressed in the form

$$\varphi(x) = cx^m e^{\sigma x} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1+\frac{x}{x_k}\right) , \tag{2}$$

where $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sigma, c, x_k \in \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma, c \geq 0$, $x_k > 0$, and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x_k} < \infty$. For various properties of the Laguerre-Pólya class refer to [12, Ch. VIII], [14], [16], and the references contained therein. In particular, for $\psi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_k}{k!} x^k \in \mathscr{L}\text{-}\mathscr{P}$:

(i) If $\gamma_k \geq 0$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, then $\psi(x) \in \mathscr{L}\text{-}\mathscr{P}^+$;
(ii) The *Turán inequalities* hold: $\gamma_k^2 - \gamma_{k-1}\gamma_{k+1} \geq 0$ for $k = 1, 2, \ldots$;
(iii) The *Laguerre inequalities* hold: $(\psi^{(p)}(x))^2 - \psi^{(p-1)}(x)\psi^{(p+1)}(x) \geq 0$ for each $p = 1, 2, \ldots$, and all $x \in \mathbb{R}$;
(iv) $\psi^{(k)}(x) \in \mathscr{L}\text{-}\mathscr{P}$ for $k = 1, 2, \ldots$.

The Laguerre inequalities generalize to a system of inequalities which characterize the Laguerre-Pólya class.

Date: March 23, 2011.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26C10, 30C15, 05A20.
Key words and phrases. Stanley Conjecture, Generalized Turán Inequalities, Laguerre-Pólya Class, Non-Linear Operators.

Theorem 1 ([5], [6], [15]). *Let* $\varphi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_k}{k!} x^k$ *be a real entire function and define*

$$L_p(\varphi(x)) := \sum_{j=0}^{2p} \frac{(-1)^{p+j}}{(2p)!} \binom{2p}{j} \varphi^{(j)}(x)\varphi^{(2p-j)}(x) \ , \tag{3}$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}$ *and* $p = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ *. Then* $\varphi(x) \in \mathscr{L}\text{-}\mathscr{P}$ *if and only if for all* $x \in \mathbb{R}$ *and all* $p = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$

$$L_p(\varphi(x)) \geq 0 \ .$$

In their 1989 study of the relationship between the Laguerre and the Turán inequalities, Craven and Csordas have posed the following problem:

Problem 2 ([5]). *Classify the functions*

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\omega} \frac{\gamma_k}{k!} x^k \in \mathscr{L}\text{-}\mathscr{P} \ , \tag{4}$$

where $\gamma_k \geq 0$ *and* $0 \leq \omega \leq \infty$*, for which the functions*

$$f(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_k^2 - \gamma_{k-1}\gamma_{k+1}}{k!} x^k \in \mathscr{L}\text{-}\mathscr{P} \ . \tag{5}$$

This problem naturally leads to the following result, conjectured independently by Stanley, McNamara-Sagan, and Fisk and proved by Brändén ([2]).

Theorem 3 ([2]). *If the zeros of the real polynomial* $\psi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k z^k$ *are all real and negative, then the zeros of the polynomial*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} (a_k^2 - a_{k-1}a_{k+1}) z^k \ , \text{ where } a_{-1} := 0 \text{ and } a_{n+1} := 0 \ , \tag{6}$$

are all real and negative.

The coefficients in (6) are obtained by means of the non-linear operator $a_k \mapsto a_k^2 - a_{k-1}a_{k+1}$. We extend Theorem 3 to a class of non-linear operators that take real polynomials with all real negative zeros into polynomials of the same type, and include the non-linear operator $a_k \mapsto a_k^2 - a_{k-1}a_{k+1}$.

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

A Class of Non-Linear Operators.

Notation 4. We will always explicitly write $a_k = \frac{\gamma_k}{k!}$ to avoid confusion (cf. §4) and distinguish between the coefficients a_k of $\psi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k$ and the coefficients $\frac{\gamma_k}{k!}$ of $\varphi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_k}{k!} x^k$. We will follow the convention on the integer index k (cf. Theorem 3 and Problem 2) that $a_k = 0$, whenever k is negative for transcendental entire functions $\psi(x)$, and if $\psi(x)$ is a polynomial, then we will set $a_k = 0$, whenever $k \notin \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, \deg \psi(x)\}$.

Lemma 5. *Let* $\varphi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_k}{k!} x^k$ *be a real entire function and, for a positive integer* p, *let* $L_p\left(\varphi^{(k)}(x)\right)$ *be defined as in Theorem 1. Then,*

$$\frac{(2p)!}{2} \cdot L_p\left(\varphi^{(k)}(x)\right)\Big|_{x=0} = \binom{2p-1}{p} \gamma_{k+p}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{p} (-1)^j \binom{2p}{p-j} \gamma_{k+p-j}\gamma_{k+p+j} \ . \tag{7}$$

Proof. Rewriting equation (3) with $\varphi^{(k)}(x)$, yields

$$L_p\left(\varphi^{(k)}(x)\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{2p} \frac{(-1)^{p+j}}{(2p)!} \binom{2p}{j} \varphi^{(k+j)}(x)\varphi^{(2p+k-j)}(x) \ . \tag{8}$$

For a fixed positive integer p, the coefficient of γ_{k+p}^2 is obtained by setting $j = p$ in the summand in (8),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(2p)!}{2} \cdot \frac{(-1)^{p+p}}{(2p)!} \binom{2p}{p} \varphi^{(k+p)}(0)\varphi^{(2p+k-p)}(0) &= \frac{1}{2}\binom{2p}{p}\gamma_{k+p}^2 \qquad (9) \\ &= \binom{2p-1}{p}\gamma_{k+p}^2 \ . \qquad (10) \end{aligned}$$

For a fixed $j = 1, 2, \ldots, p$, and an arbitrary positive integer p, the coefficient of $\gamma_{k+p-j}\gamma_{k+p+j}$ is obtained by setting $j = p - j$ or $j = p + j$ in the summand in (8). Thus, using the symmetry $\binom{2p}{p-j} = \binom{2p}{p+j}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \cdot \frac{(2p)!}{2} \cdot \frac{(-1)^{2p+j}}{(2p)!} \binom{2p}{p-j} \varphi^{(p+k-j)}(0)\varphi^{(p+k+j)}(0) \qquad (11) \\ & \qquad = (-1)^j \binom{2p}{p-j} \gamma_{p+k-j}\gamma_{p+k+j} \ . \qquad (12) \end{aligned}$$

$\blacksquare$

Example 6. Let $\varphi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_k}{k!} x^k$ be a real entire function. The first 5 of the *extended Turán expressions* (cf. Theorem 1) are:

$$\begin{aligned} L_0(\varphi(x))|_{x=0} &= \gamma_0^2 \ , \\ L_1(\varphi(x))|_{x=0} &= \gamma_1^2 - \gamma_0\gamma_2 \ , \\ 12L_2(\varphi(x))|_{x=0} &= 3\gamma_2^2 - 4\gamma_1\gamma_3 + \gamma_0\gamma_4 \ , \\ 360L_3(\varphi(x))|_{x=0} &= 10\gamma_3^2 - 15\gamma_2\gamma_4 + 6\gamma_1\gamma_5 - \gamma_0\gamma_6 \ , \\ 20160L_4(\varphi(x))|_{x=0} &= 35\gamma_4^2 - 56\gamma_3\gamma_5 + 28\gamma_2\gamma_6 - 8\gamma_1\gamma_7 + \gamma_0\gamma_8 \ . \end{aligned}$$

With the above coefficients, we define a class of non-linear operators that extend the non-linear operator of Theorem 3.

Definition 7. Let $\psi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k$ be a real entire function. For non-negative integers p, define the non-linear operators $a_k \mapsto L_k^p$, where $L_k^0 := a_k^2$, and for $p = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, set

$$L_k^p := \binom{2p-1}{p} a_k^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{p} (-1)^j \binom{2p}{p-j} a_{k-j}a_{k+j} \ . \tag{13}$$

Example 8. Let $\psi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k$ be a real entire function. The first 5 of the non-linear operators in Definition 7 are:
$L_k^0 : a_k \mapsto a_k^2$,
$L_k^1 : a_k \mapsto a_k^2 - a_{k-1}a_{k+1}$,
$L_k^2 : a_k \mapsto 3a_k^2 - 4a_{k-1}a_{k+1} + a_{k-2}a_{k+2}$,
$L_k^3 : a_k \mapsto 10a_k^2 - 15a_{k-1}a_{k+1} + 6a_{k-2}a_{k+2} - a_{k-3}a_{k+3}$,
$L_k^4 : a_k \mapsto 35a_k^2 - 56a_{k-1}a_{k+1} + 28a_{k-2}a_{k+2} - 8a_{k-3}a_{k+3} + a_{k-4}a_{k+4}$.

Notation 9. In the sequel, we will allow L_k^p to denote the non-linear operator $a_k \mapsto L_k^p$, and we will write $L_k^p[\psi(x)] = \sum_{k=0}^{n} L_k^p x^k$ to indicate the action of the non-linear operator L_k^p on $\psi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k x^k$.

Symmetric Function Identities. For a fixed positive integer n and $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, the elementary symmetric functions in the variables $z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n$, are:

$$e_k(z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n) = \sum_{1 \le m_1 \le m_2 \le \cdots \le m_k \le k} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{k} z_{m_j} \right), \tag{14}$$

where $e_k(z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n) = 0$, whenever $k \notin \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, and $e_0(z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n) := 1$.

The proof of Theorem 3 depends on the properties of the non-linear operator $T_\mu : \mathbb{C}[z] \to \mathbb{C}[z]$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k z^k \mapsto \sum_{i \le j} \mu_{j-i} a_i a_j z^{i+j} , \tag{15}$$

and the following result.

Theorem 10 ([2]). *Let $\mu = \{\mu_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of complex numbers and for $k = 0, 1, \ldots, n$, let $e_k(z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n)$ be the elementary symmetric functions in the variables $z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n$. Then,*

$$\sum_{i \le j} \mu_{j-i} e_i(z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n) e_j(z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n) \tag{16}$$

$$= e_n(z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n) \sum_{k=0}^{n} \gamma_k e_{n-k} \left(z_1 + \frac{1}{z_1}, z_2 + \frac{1}{z_2}, \ldots, z_n + \frac{1}{z_n} \right) ,$$

where

$$\gamma_k := \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \binom{k}{j} \mu_{k-2j} . \tag{17}$$

Example 11 ([2]). An application of Theorem 10 with $\mu = \{1, 0, -1, 0, 0, \ldots\}$, the sequence obtained from the coefficients of L_k^1, yields

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \left(e_k^2(z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n) - e_{k-1}(z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n) e_{k+1}(z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n) \right) \tag{18}$$

$$= e_n(z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n) \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} C_k e_{n-2k} \left(z_1 + \frac{1}{z_1}, z_2 + \frac{1}{z_2}, \ldots, z_n + \frac{1}{z_n} \right) ,$$

where $C_k := \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{k+1}$ is the k^{th} *Catalan number*.

We extend the identity in equation (18) to sequences μ obtained from the coefficients of an arbitrary L_k^p (cf. Definition 7).

Lemma 12. *For fixed positive integers n and p define*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_k^p(z_1,z_2,\ldots,z_n) &:= \binom{2p-1}{p} e_k^2(z_1,z_2,\ldots,z_n)\\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{p}(-1)^j\binom{2p}{p-j} e_{k+j}(z_1,z_2,\ldots,z_n)e_{k-j}(z_1,z_2,\ldots,z_n)\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

and

$$S(p,k) := \frac{\binom{2p}{p}\binom{2k}{k}}{\binom{p+k}{p}}\ . \tag{20}$$

Then, for a fixed positive integer p and for any positive integer n,

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{k=0}^{n}\mathcal{L}_k^p(z_1,z_2,\ldots,z_n)\\ &\quad = e_n(z_1,z_2,\ldots,z_n)\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2}\rfloor}\frac{S(p,k)}{2}e_{n-2k}\left(z_1+\frac{1}{z_1},z_2+\frac{1}{z_2},\ldots,z_n+\frac{1}{z_n}\right)\ .\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Proof. Fix a positive integer p and let the coefficients of L_k^p (cf. Definition 7) form the the sequence $\{\mu_j\}_{j=0}^p$ by setting: $\mu_0 := \binom{2p-1}{p},\ \mu_{2j} := (-1)^j\binom{2p}{p-j},\ \mu_{2j-1} := 0$, where $j = 1,2,\ldots,p$. Fix a positive integer n, and for integers k, where $0 \le k \le n$, define

$$\gamma_k := \gamma_k(n,p) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2}\rfloor}\binom{k}{j}\mu_{k-2j}\ . \tag{22}$$

If k is an odd integer, then $\gamma_k = 0$ and

$$\gamma_{2k} = \sum_{j=0}^{k}\binom{2k}{j}\mu_{2k-2j} = \binom{2k}{k}\mu_0 + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\binom{2k}{j}\mu_{2k-2j}\ . \tag{23}$$

By Theorem 10, it suffices to show that $\gamma_{2k} = \frac{S(p,k)}{2}$. Using the symmetry of $\binom{2k}{j}$, we reverse the order of summation and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}2\gamma_{2k} &= 2\binom{2k}{k}\mu_0 + 2\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\binom{2k}{j}\mu_{2k-2j} && (24)\\ &= 2\binom{2k}{k}\mu_0 + 2\sum_{j=1}^{k}\binom{2k}{k-j}\mu_{2j} && (25)\\ &= \sum_{j=-k}^{k}(-1)^j\binom{2k}{k-j}\binom{2p}{p-j}\ . && (26)\end{aligned}$$

To complete the proof, we recall the following formula of Szily ([3], [20])

$$\sum_{r=-b}^{b} (-1)^r \binom{2a}{a-r}\binom{2b}{b-r} = \frac{\binom{2a}{a}\binom{2b}{b}}{\binom{a+b}{a}} , \tag{27}$$

where $a \geq b$, and conclude that $2\gamma_{2k} = S(p,k)$. ■

Remarks 13.

- (i) $2C_k = S(1,k)$ and Example 11 is equivalent to the assertion in Lemma 12 with $p = 1$.
- (ii) $S(p,k)$ is an integer (cf. (27) and [4]).
- (iii) The numbers $S(p,k)$ are also known as the *super Catalan numbers* ([4], [11]).

A Class of Hypergeometric Polynomials. We now establish the properties of certain hypergeometric polynomials that appear in the proof of the main theorem.

Lemma 14. *Let* p *be a fixed positive integer. Then, for any positive integer* n*,*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \frac{S(p,k)}{2}\binom{n}{2k} z^k = \binom{2p-1}{p} {}_2F_1\left(-\frac{n}{2}, \frac{1-n}{2}; p+1; 4z\right) , \tag{28}$$

where

$$S(p,k) = \frac{\binom{2p}{p}\binom{2k}{k}}{\binom{p+k}{p}} . \tag{29}$$

Proof. Using the fact ([17, Lemma 5, p. 22])

$$(\alpha)_{2k} = 2^{2k}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)_k\left(\frac{1+\alpha}{2}\right)_k , \tag{30}$$

where $(\alpha)_k = \alpha(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)\cdots(\alpha+k-1) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+k)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$ is the Pochhammer symbol or ascending factorial, we rewrite the right member of (28):

$$\binom{2p-1}{p}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{\left(-\frac{n}{2}\right)_k\left(\frac{1-n}{2}\right)_k}{k!(p+1)_k}(4z)^k = \frac{1}{2}\binom{2p}{p}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-n)_{2k}}{2^{2k}k!(p+1)_k}(4z)^k \tag{31}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\binom{2p}{p}\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}\frac{(-n)_{2k}}{k!}\cdot\frac{1}{(p+1)_k}z^k \tag{32}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\binom{2p}{p}\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}\frac{n!}{k!(n-2k)!}\cdot\frac{p!}{(p+k)!}z^k \tag{33}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\binom{2p}{p}\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}\binom{2k}{k}\binom{n}{2k}\cdot\frac{p!k!}{(p+k)!}z^k \tag{34}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}\frac{S(p,k)}{2}\binom{n}{2k}z^k . \tag{35}$$

■

Lemma 15. *For fixed positive integers n and p, the zeros of the polynomial*

$$Q_n^p(z) := \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \frac{S(p,k)}{2} \binom{n}{2k} z^k \ , \ \textit{where } S(p,k) = \frac{\binom{2p}{p}\binom{2k}{k}}{\binom{p+k}{p}} \ , \tag{36}$$

are all real and negative.

Proof. Applying Lemma 14, we obtain

$$Q_n^p(z) = \binom{2p-1}{p} {}_2F_1\left(-\frac{n}{2}, \frac{1-n}{2}; p+1; 4z\right) \ . \tag{37}$$

We recall a formula relating the hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1$ and the Jacobi polynomial $P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$ ([17, formula (2), p.254]):

$$P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) = \frac{(1+\alpha)_n}{n!} \cdot \left(\frac{1+x}{2}\right)^n {}_2F_1\left(-n, -\beta-n; \alpha+1; \frac{x-1}{x+1}\right) \ . \tag{38}$$

If n is an even integer, we let $n = 2m, \ m = 1, 2, \ldots$, so that the right member of (37) becomes

$$\binom{2p-1}{p} {}_2F_1\left(-m, \frac{1-2m}{2}; p+1; 4z\right) \ . \tag{39}$$

Setting $\alpha := p, n := m, \beta := -\frac{1}{2}, x := \frac{1+4z}{1-4z}$ in (38), yields

$$P_m^{(p,-\frac{1}{2})}\left(\frac{1+4z}{1-4z}\right) = \frac{(1+p)_m}{m!} \cdot \left(z - \frac{1}{4}\right)^m {}_2F_1\left(-m, \frac{1-2m}{2}; p+1; 4z\right) \ . \tag{40}$$

If n is an odd integer, we let $n = 2m-1, m = 1, 2, \ldots$, so that the right member of (37) becomes

$$\binom{2p-1}{p} {}_2F_1\left(1-m, \frac{1-2m}{2}; p+1; 4z\right) \ . \tag{41}$$

Setting $\alpha := p, n := m-1, \beta := \frac{1}{2}, x := \frac{1+4z}{1-4z}$ in (38), we obtain

$$P_{m-1}^{(p,\frac{1}{2})}\left(\frac{1+4z}{1-4z}\right) = \frac{(1+p)_{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \cdot \left(z - \frac{1}{4}\right)^{m-1} {}_2F_1\left(1-m, \frac{1-2m}{2}; p+1; 4z\right) \ . \tag{42}$$

It is well known that if $\alpha > -1$ and $\beta > -1$, then the zeros of the Jacobi polynomial $P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$ are distinct and lie in the interval $(-1,1)$ (cf. [17, p. 261]). Thus, if $\gamma \in (-1,1)$ is a zero of $P_m^{(p,-\frac{1}{2})}\left(\frac{1+4z}{1-4z}\right)$ or a zero of $P_{m-1}^{(p,\frac{1}{2})}\left(\frac{1+4z}{1-4z}\right)$, then a calculation shows that $z = \frac{\gamma-1}{4(\gamma+1)} < 0$. Therefore, the zeros of the polynomials in equations (40) and (42), and whence the zeros of the polynomial $Q_n^p(z)$, are all real and negative. ■

Supplementary Results.

Theorem 16 (Grace-Walsh-Szegö, [18])**.** *Let* $f \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n]$ *be a multi-affine and symmetric polynomial. Let* K *be a circular region and suppose that either* K *is convex or that the degree of* f *is* n*. Then, for any* $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \ldots, \zeta_n \in K$*, there exists a* $\zeta \in K$ *such that* $f(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \ldots, \zeta_n) = f(\zeta, \zeta, \ldots, \zeta)$*.*

Theorem 17 (Szász, [19])**.** *Let* $\{P_n(z)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ *be a sequence of polynomials, where* $P_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{M_n} a_{n,k} z^k$, $a_{n,0} \neq 0$, $a_{n,M_n} \neq 0$, $M_n \to \infty$, *all of whose zeros lie in an open half-plane* $H \subset \mathbb{C}$ *with boundary containing the origin. If for some constants* α_0, α_1, *and all* n,

$$0 < \alpha_0 \leq |a_{n,0}| \leq \alpha_1,\ |a_{n,1}| \leq \alpha_1,\ |a_{n,2}| \leq \alpha_1 < \infty \ , \tag{43}$$

then the sequence $\{P_n(z)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ *is uniformly bounded in any circle* $|z| \leq r$*, and in fact,*

$$|P_n(z)| \leq \alpha_1 \exp\left(r\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} + 3r^2 \left(\frac{\alpha_1^2}{\alpha_0^2} + \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_0} \right) \right) \ . \tag{44}$$

3. MAIN THEOREM

The analog of Theorem 3 (cf. [2, proof of Conjecture 1.1]) for the extended Turán inequalities is that the operator L_k^p (cf. Definition 7), for an arbitrary positive integer p, takes real polynomials with all real negative zeros into polynomials of the same type.

Theorem 18. *Let* $\psi(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k z^k = \prod_{k=1}^{n}(1 + \rho_k z)$, *where* $\rho_k > 0$ *for* $1 \leq k \leq n$, *be a real polynomial with all real negative zeros. Let* p *be a positive integer and let* L_k^p *be the non-linear operator (cf. Definition 7)*

$$a_k \mapsto \binom{2p-1}{p} a_k^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{p} (-1)^j \binom{2p}{p-j} a_{k-j} a_{k+j} \ . \tag{45}$$

Then, the zeros of the polynomial

$$L_k^p\left[\psi(z)\right] = \sum_{k=0}^{n} L_k^p z^k \tag{46}$$

are all real and negative.

Proof. Fix a positive integer p and suppose that there exists a ξ with $\mathrm{Re}\xi > 0$ such that $\xi^2 = \zeta$ and $L_k^p\left[\psi(\zeta)\right] = 0$. From (45) and (46) we have

$$L_k^p\left[\psi(\zeta)\right] = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left(\binom{2p-1}{p} a_k^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{p} (-1)^j \binom{2p}{p-j} a_{k-j} a_{k+j} \right) \zeta^k \tag{47}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left(\binom{2p-1}{p} a_k^2 \xi^{2k} + \sum_{j=1}^{p} (-1)^j \binom{2p}{p-j} a_{k-j} a_{k+j} \xi^{2k} \right) \ . \tag{48}$$

Using the properties of the elementary symmetric functions, we apply Lemma 12 to (48) and obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left(\binom{2p-1}{p}e_k^2(\rho_1\xi,\rho_2\xi,\ldots,\rho_n\xi)\right. \tag{49}$$

$$\left.+\sum_{j=1}^{p}(-1)^j\binom{2p}{p-j}e_{k-j}(\rho_1\xi,\rho_2\xi,\ldots,\rho_n\xi)e_{k+j}(\rho_1\xi,\rho_2\xi,\ldots,\rho_n\xi)\right)$$

$$=a_n\xi^n\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor}\frac{S(p,k)}{2}e_{n-2k}\left(\rho_1\xi+\frac{1}{\rho_1\xi},\ \rho_2\xi+\frac{1}{\rho_2\xi},\ \ldots,\ \rho_n\xi+\frac{1}{\rho_n\xi}\right). \tag{50}$$

From the assumptions that $\mathrm{Re}\,\xi>0$ and $\rho_k>0$ for $1\le k\le n$, it follows that $\mathrm{Re}\left(\rho_k\xi+\frac{1}{\rho_k\xi}\right)>0$ for $1\le k\le n$. By the Grace-Walsh-Szegö Theorem, there exists an $\eta\in\mathbb{C}$ with $\mathrm{Re}\,\eta>0$ such that

$$0 = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor}\frac{S(p,k)}{2}e_{n-2k}(\eta,\eta,\ldots,\eta) \tag{51}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor}\frac{S(p,k)}{2}\binom{n}{2k}\eta^{n-2k} \tag{52}$$

$$= \eta^n\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor}\frac{S(p,k)}{2}\binom{n}{2k}\left(\frac{1}{\eta^2}\right)^k \tag{53}$$

$$= \eta^n Q_n^p\left(\frac{1}{\eta^2}\right), \tag{54}$$

where $Q_n^p(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor}\frac{S(p,k)}{2}\binom{n}{2k}z^k$. Since $\zeta=\xi^2$, we know that $\zeta,\frac{1}{\eta^2}\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\{x\in\mathbb{R}\ :\ x\le 0\}$ and, therefore, the zeros of $\sum_{k=0}^{n}L_k^p z^k$ are all real and negative, provided that the zeros of $Q_n^p(z)$ are all real and negative for a fixed positive integer p and any positive integer n. This is the assertion in Lemma 15. ■

In a sequel to the proof of Theorem 10, Brändén gives several equivalent stability results concerning the action of the operator T_μ (cf. (15)) on polynomials and transcendental entire functions (cf. [2, Theorems 3.2 and 5.4]):

(i) $T_\mu((1+z)^n)\ne 0$, whenever $\mathrm{Re}\,z>0$;
(ii) For all polynomials $p(z)$ with only real and negative zeros, the polynomial $T_\mu(p(z))$ is either identically zero or $T_\mu(p(z))\ne 0$, whenever $\mathrm{Re}\,z>0$;
(iii) For all $\varphi(x)\in\mathscr{L}\text{-}\mathscr{P}^+$, the function $T_\mu(\varphi(x))$ is either identically zero or the uniform limit, on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}$, of polynomials all of whose zeros lie in the closed left half-plane.

The class of non-linear operators L_k^p enjoys the above properties, because the coefficients of an arbitrary L_k^p define the sequence μ as in Lemma 12, and by Theorem 18 satisfy statements (i) and (ii) above. We refine statement (iii) and show that for this choice of μ the non-linear operators L_k^p preserve $\mathscr{L}\text{-}\mathscr{P}^+$.

A Transcendental Characterization.

Theorem 19. *For a fixed positive integer p, let L_k^p be the non-linear operator*

$$a_k \mapsto \binom{2p-1}{p} a_k^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{p} (-1)^j \binom{2p}{p-j} a_{k-j} a_{k+j} \, , \tag{55}$$

and let $\varphi(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_k}{k!} z^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in \mathscr{L}\text{-}\mathscr{P}^+$. Then, $L_k^p[\varphi(z)] \in \mathscr{L}\text{-}\mathscr{P}^+$.

Proof. Fix a positive integer p. To establish $L_k^p[\varphi(z)] \in \mathscr{L}\text{-}\mathscr{P}^+$, it suffices to approximate $L_k^p[\varphi(z)]$, uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}$, by real polynomials all of whose zeros are real and of the same sign (cf. [5, Lemma 2.2]). The n^{th} *Jensen polynomial* associated with $\varphi(z)$, given by $g_n(z) := \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \gamma_k z^k, n = 0, 1, \ldots,$ has only real negative zeros (cf. [16]). The zeros of $g_n(\frac{z}{n}), n = 1, 2, \ldots$, are all real and negative and by Theorem 18, for a fixed positive integer p, the zeros of the polynomial $L_k^p[g_n(\frac{z}{n})]$ are all real and negative. For $n \geq 2$,

$$g_n\left(\frac{z}{n}\right) = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 z + \sum_{k=2}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \gamma_k \left(\frac{z}{n}\right)^k \tag{56}$$

and

$$L_k^p\left[g_n\left(\frac{z}{n}\right)\right] = L_0^p + L_1^p z + \sum_{k=2}^{n} L_k^p z^k \, . \tag{57}$$

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \binom{n}{k} \frac{\gamma_k}{n^k} = \frac{\gamma_k}{k!} \lim_{n\to\infty} \prod_{r=1}^{k-1} \left(1 - \frac{r}{n}\right) = \frac{\gamma_k}{k!} \, , \tag{58}$$

and a calculation using (58), yields

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} L_k^p \left[\sum_{k=2}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \gamma_k \left(\frac{z}{n}\right)^k\right] = L_k^p \left[\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_k}{k!} z^k\right] . \tag{59}$$

Let $\{P_n(z)\}_{n=2}^{\infty}$ be the family of polynomials $P_n(z) := L_k^p\left[g_n\left(\frac{z}{n}\right)\right] = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{n,k} z^k$. By Theorem 18,

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n,0} &= \tbinom{2p-1}{p} \gamma_0^2 \, , \\ a_{n,1} &= \tbinom{2p-1}{p} \gamma_1^2 - \tbinom{2p}{p-1} \tbinom{n}{2} \tfrac{\gamma_0 \gamma_2}{n^2} \, , \\ a_{n,2} &= \tbinom{2p-1}{p} \left(\tbinom{n}{2} \tfrac{\gamma_2}{n^2}\right)^2 - \tbinom{2p}{p-1} \tbinom{n}{3} \tfrac{\gamma_1 \gamma_3}{n^3} + \tbinom{2p}{p-2} \tbinom{n}{4} \tfrac{\gamma_0 \gamma_4}{n^4} \, , \end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

are non-negative for any positive integer p. Therefore, there exist positive constants α_0, α_1, satisfying (43). By Theorem 17, $\{P_n(z)\}_{n=2}^{\infty}$ is locally uniformly bounded on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}$, and by well-known results (see for example [12, p.333] or Montel's Theorem [13, pp. 21-30]), there exists a subsequence of $\{P_n(z)\}_{n=2}^{\infty}$ converging, uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}$, to the entire function $L_k^p[\varphi(z)]$.

■

4. Applications and Examples

Example 20. The analog of Theorem 3 fails for the *extended Turán expressions* (cf. Example 6). Consider the polynomial $\psi(x) = (1+x)^3 = 1 + 3x + 3x^2 + x^3 = \sum_{k=0}^{3} \frac{\gamma_k}{k!} x^k$. The polynomial

$$\sum_{k=0}^{3} (3\gamma_k^2 - 4\gamma_{k-1}\gamma_{k+1} + \gamma_{k-2}\gamma_{k+2}) x^k = 12 + 84x + 36x^2 + 108x^3 \tag{61}$$

has 2 non-real zeros.

Example 21. The hypothesis on the degrees of both the polynomials in Theorem 18 (cf. Theorem 3) cannot be relaxed. Consider the polynomial $\psi(x) = (1+x)^5 = 1 + 5x + 10x^2 + 10x^3 + 5x^4 + x^5 = \sum_{k=0}^{5} a_k x^k$. The polynomial

$$L_k^2[\psi(x)] = \sum_{k=0}^{5} (3a_k^2 - 4a_{k-1}a_{k+1} + a_{k-2}a_{k+2}) x^k = 3 + 35x + 105x^2 + 105x^3 + 35x^4 + 3x^5 \tag{62}$$

has all negative real zeros, but the polynomial

$$\sum_{k=0}^{4} (3a_k^2 - 4a_{k-1}a_{k+1} + a_{k-2}a_{k+2}) x^k = 3 + 35x + 105x^2 + 105x^3 + 35x^4 \tag{63}$$

has a pair of non-real zeros.

A Question of Fisk. Fisk asked whether the class of non-linear operators $a_k \mapsto S_r := a_k^2 - a_{k-r}a_{k+r}, r = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, acting on functions of the form $\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k x^k$, takes polynomials with only real negative zeros into polynomials of the same type ([10, Question 2]). Here, as with L_k^p, we set $a_k = 0$ for $k < 0$ (cf. Notation 4).

S_0 produces the zero polynomial and Brändén ([2]) confirmed the cases when $r = 1, 2, 3$. Recently, R. Yoshida ([21]) confirmed the case when $r = 4$ and produced a counterexample in the case $r = 6$. Nevertheless, the non-linear operators S_r and L_k^p are related in a remarkable way.

Proposition 22. *Fix a positive integer p and let L_k^p be the non-linear operator of Definition 7. Then,*

$$L_k^p = \sum_{j=1}^{p} (-1)^{j+1} \binom{2p}{p-j} (a_k^2 - a_{k-j}a_{k+j}) \,. \tag{64}$$

Proof. Using (27) with $a = 0$, we rewrite the right member of (64) and obtain

$$L_k^p = a_k^2 \sum_{j=1}^{p} (-1)^{j+1} \binom{2p}{p-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{p} (-1)^j \binom{2p}{p-j} a_{k-j}a_{k+j} \tag{65}$$

$$= \binom{2p-1}{p} a_k^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{p} (-1)^j \binom{2p}{p-j} a_{k-j}a_{k+j} \,. \tag{66}$$

■

Proposition 23. *If the zeros of the real polynomial* $\varphi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k x^k$ *are all real and negative, then for any positive integer* p*, the zeros of the polynomial*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{p} \left((-1)^{j+1} \binom{2p}{p-j} \sum_{k=0}^{n} (a_k^2 - a_{k-j}a_{k+j}) x^k \right) \tag{67}$$

are all real and negative.

Proof. Suppose that the zeros of the polynomial $\varphi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k x^k$ are all real and negative. By Theorem 18, the zeros of the polynomial $L_k^p[\varphi(x)]$ are all real and negative for any positive integer p, and by Proposition 22

$$L_k^p[\varphi(x)] = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{p} (-1)^{j+1} \binom{2p}{p-j} (a_k^2 - a_{k-j}a_{k+j}) \right) x^k \tag{68}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left((-1)^{j+1} \binom{2p}{p-j} \sum_{k=0}^{n} (a_k^2 - a_{k-j}a_{k+j}) x^k \right) . \tag{69}$$

■

Totally Positive Sequences. According to the theory of totally positive sequences developed by Aissen, Edrei, Schoenberg, and Whitney ([1], [9]), the coefficients $\{a_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ of a function $\varphi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k \in \mathscr{L}\text{-}\mathscr{P}^+$ form a totally positive sequence. Therefore, by Theorem 18 the sequences $\{L_k^p\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ are totally positive sequences for each positive integer p, provided that the non-linear operators defined by L_k^p are acting on functions in $\mathscr{L}\text{-}\mathscr{P}^+$.

For positive integers r, the non-linear operator S_r, in the determinant form

$$a_k \mapsto \begin{vmatrix} a_k & a_{k-r} \\ a_{k+r} & a_k \end{vmatrix} , \tag{70}$$

occurs naturally as a minor of the 4-way infinite Toeplitz matrix $A = (a_{ij})$, obtained from the coefficients of $\varphi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k \in \mathscr{L}\text{-}\mathscr{P}^+$, by setting $a_{ij} = a_{k+(i-j)}$:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \\ \cdots & a_k & a_{k-1} & a_{k-2} & \cdots & a_{k-r} & \cdots \\ \cdots & a_{k+1} & a_k & a_{k-1} & \cdots & a_{k-r+1} & \cdots \\ \cdots & a_{k+2} & a_{k+1} & a_k & \cdots & a_{k-r+2} & \cdots \\ & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \\ \cdots & a_{k+r} & a_{k+r-1} & a_{k+r-2} & \cdots & a_k & \cdots \\ & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \end{pmatrix} . \tag{71}$$

With the exception of $L_k^1 = S_1$, it seems that the non-linear operators defined by L_k^p cannot be realized as a minor of the above matrix A. From (64) and the Laplace expansion along the i^{th} row of the determinant of a $p \times p$ submatrix $B := (b_{ij})$ of A,

$$\det B = \sum_{j=1}^{p} (-1)^{j+i} b_{ij} \det B(i|j) , \tag{72}$$

where $B(i|j)$ is the submatrix of B obtained by deleting row i and column j, it is clear that L_k^p is not the determinant of any submatrix B of A larger than 2×2. Moreover, for each positive integer p, the non-linear operator L_k^p consists of the term $\binom{2p-1}{p} a_k^2$ and p other terms, and for $p > 1$ cannot be realized as a 2×2 determinant, and *a fortiori*, any minor of A larger than 2×2.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author is indebted to Dr. George Csordas, Mr. Matthew Chasse, and Mr. Rintaro Yoshida for their careful reading of the manuscript and many helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

[1] M. Aissen, I. J. Schoenberg and A. M. Whitney, *On generating functions of totally positive sequences I,* J. Anal. Math. **2** (1952) 93-103.

[2] P. Brändén, *Iterated sequences and the geometry of zeros,* ArXiv: arXiv:0909.1927v3[math.CO].

[3] L. Carlitz, *Note on a formula of Szily,* Scripta Math. **18** (1952), 249-253 (1953).

[4] E. Catalan, *Nouvelles Annales de Mathématiques: Journal des Candidats aux École Polytechnic et Normale,* Series 2, **13**, p. 207.

[5] T. Craven and G. Csordas, *Jensen Polynomials and the Turán and Laguerre inequalities,* Pacific J. Math. **136** (1989) 241-260.

[6] T. Craven and G. Csordas, *Iterated Laguerre and Turán inequalities,* Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics. **3**, Issue 3 (2002), Article 39.

[7] T. Craven and G. Csordas, *Composition theorems, multiplier sequences and complex zero decreasing sequences,* Value Distribution Theory and Its Related Topics, (G. Barsegian, I. Laine and C. C. Yang, eds.), Kluwer Press (2004).

[8] T. Craven and G. Csordas, *The Fox-Wright functions and Laguerre multiplier sequences,* J. Math. Anal. Appl. **314** (2006) 109-125.

[9] A. Edrei, *Proof of a conjecture of Schoenberg on the generating function of a totally positive sequence,* Canad. J. Math. **5** (1953) 86-94.

[10] S. Fisk, *Questions about determinants and polynomials,* ArXiv: 0808.1850v1[math.CA] .

[11] I. M. Gessel. *Super ballot numbers,* J. Symbolic Computation **14** (1992) 179-194.

[12] B. Ja. Levin, *Distribution of Zeros of Entire Functions,* Transl. Math. Mono. **5**, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 1964; revised ed. 1980.

[13] P. Montel, *Leçons sur les familles normales de foncions analytiques*, Paris 1927.

[14] N. Obreschkoff, *Verteilung und Berechnung der Nullstellen reeller Polynome,* VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin 1963.

[15] M. L. Patrick, *Extensions of inequalities of the Laguerre and Turán type,* Pacific J. Math. **44** (1973) 675-682.

[16] G. Pólya and J. Schur, *Über zwei Arten von Faktorenfolgen in der Theorie der algebraischen Gleichungen,* J. Reine Angew. Math., **144** (1914) 89-113.

[17] E. Rainville, *Special Functions,* Chelsea, New York, 1960.

[18] Q. I. Rahman, G. Schmeisser, *Analytic Theory of Polynomials,* Oxford University Press, 2002.

[19] O. Szász, *On sequences of polynomials and the distribution of their zeros,* Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **49** (1943) 377-383.

[20] K. v. Szily, *Über die Quadratsummen der Binomialcoefficienten,* Mat. Nat. Ber. Ungarn. **12** (1894) 84-91.

[21] R. Yoshida, *On some questions of Fisk and Brändén,* arXiv:1005.4218v1[math.CA].

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF HAWAIʻI AT MĀNOA, HONOLULU, HI 96822
E-mail address: `lukasz@math.hawaii.edu`